An ansatz for the exclusion statistics parameters in macroscopic physical systems described by fractional exclusion statistics

Dragoş-Victor Anghel

Department of Theoretical Physics, National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering–"Horia Hulubei", Str. Atomistilor no.407, P.O.BOX MG-6, Bucharest - Magurele, Romania

arXiv:0909.0030v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 20 Nov 2009

PACS 05.30.-d – Quantum statistical mechanics
PACS 05.30.Ch – Quantum ensemble theory
PACS 05.30.Pr – Fractional statistics systems (anyons, etc.)

Abstract. - I introduce an ansatz for the exclusion statistics parameters of fractional exclusion statistics (FES) systems and I apply it to calculate the statistical distribution of particles from both, bosonic and fermionic perspectives. Then, to check the applicability of the ansatz, I calculate the FES parameters in three well-known models: in a Fermi liquid type of system, a one-dimensional quantum systems described in the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz and quasiparticle excitations in the fractional quantum Hall (FQH) systems. The FES parameters of the first two models satisfy the ansatz, whereas those of the third model, although close to the form given by the ansatz, represent an exception. With this ocasion I also show that the general properties of the FES parameters, deduced elsewhere (EPL **87**, 60009, 2009), are satisfied also by the parameters of the FQH liquid.

Introduction. – Haldane's concept of fractional exclusion statistics (FES) [1] have been recently amended in a series of publications [2–5]. In these publications I showed that in the original formulation of FES some basic properties have been overlooked. The situation was corrected first by introducing a conjecture [2] and then by deducing the general, basic properties of the FES parameters [5]; it turned out that the conjecture of Ref. [2] is just a special case of the general conditions deduced in Ref. [5], which allows one to write down an explicitely consistent system of equations for the statistical distribution of particles in a FES system.

Now, that the general properties of the FES parameters are deduced [5], the conjecture of Ref. [2] looses its status and becomes simply an ansatz. This ansatz seems to be quite general and applies to most of the macroscopic (i.e. quasicontinuous) systems. Nevertheless, by the end of this letter I will show an exception.

In this letter, using the general properties of the direct exclusion statistics parameters (see eq. 2c below) I propose an even more restrictive (and therefore more conveninet for applications) form of this ansatz, by specifying also the form of the direct FES parameters. This new ansatz allows me to write the system of equations for the statistical distribution of particles in a more clear form and to single-out the direct exclusion statistics parameters which are most often used in FES calculations.

In general, the FES equations for the particle distribution are used in the bosonic formulation. Here I will write and use these equations in both, bosonic and fermionic formulations. This allows for direct application of the formalism in systems of either bosons or fermions.

In the end I will give three examples of systems in which FES its manifesting. After I calculate their parameters, I show that two of them satisfy the ansatz, whereas the third, although quite similar, constitues an exception.

The general properties of the exclusion statistics parameters. – Let us assume that we have a system of particles which we divide into the species indexed by $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, each of the species containing N_i particles and having G_i "available single-particle states". Then the number of microscopic configurations for species i is

$$W_i = \frac{(G_i + N_i)!}{N_i! G_i!}. \tag{1}$$

To introduce FES into the system, we define the *exclusion statistics parameters*, $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}$, such that at the variation of N_j by δN_j, the number G_i changes by $\delta G_i = -\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}\delta N_j$. The diagonal elements, $\tilde{\alpha}_{ii}$, are called *direct exclusion statistics*

parameters, whereas the nondiagonal ones, $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}$, $i \neq j$, are called *mutual exclusion statistics parameters*.

In Ref. [5] I showed that if one of the particle species, say species j, is divided into the subspecies $j_0, j_1, \ldots$, then the new statistics coefficients should satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_{ij} &= \tilde{\alpha}_{ij_0} = \tilde{\alpha}_{ij_1} = \ldots, \text{ for any } i,\ i \neq j \quad (2a) \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{ji} &= \tilde{\alpha}_{j_0 i} + \tilde{\alpha}_{j_1 i} + \ldots, \text{ for any } i,\ i \neq j \quad (2b) \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{jj} &= \tilde{\alpha}_{j_0 j_0} + \tilde{\alpha}_{j_1 j_0} + \ldots \\ &= \tilde{\alpha}_{j_0 j_1} + \tilde{\alpha}_{j_1 j_1} + \ldots = \ldots \quad (2c) \end{aligned}$$

Here I am using the notations $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}$, like in Refs. [2–5], to make the difference between the "extensive" and "intensive" FES parameters that are going to be defined below.

The conjecture introduced in Ref. [2] stated that in a *macroscopic* physical system described by FES there is a division of the system into species, say $\{(G_i, N_i)\}_{i=0,1,\ldots}$, so that no matter how we divide further these species into subspecies, the mutual exclusion statistics parameters are always proportional to the dimension of the space on which they act. Concretely, this means that for any $i,\ j$, with $i \neq j$, we can write $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij} \equiv a_{ij} G_i$, where a_{ij} are constants that depend on the species i and j, and at any further division, say species i is divided into the subspecies $i_0, i_1, \ldots$, the new mutual exclusion statistics parameters satisfy $\tilde{\alpha}_{i_k j} = a_{ij} G_{i_k}$ for any $i \neq j$ [2]. The parameters $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}$ are then called the *extensive* parameters and the parameters a_{ij} are called the *intensive* parameters. It is easy to check that the extensive parameters satisfy the general conditions (2a) and (2b).

In this paper I will extend the ansatz to the direct FES paramteres, which should satisfy (2c).

Ansatz for the FES parameters. – We can make the form of the exclusion statistics parameters that satisfy Eqs. (2) more specific and easier to apply to FES calculations if we decompose $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}$ into a sum of two different types of parameters, $\tilde{\alpha}^{(e)}_{ij}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{i}$, by the relation

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{ij} = \tilde{\alpha}^{(e)}_{ij} + \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{i} \delta_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

The parameters $\tilde{\alpha}^{(e)}_{ij}$ are the "extensive" ones discussed above, only that now, by separating $\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{i}$, we can extend the condition

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(e)}_{ij} \equiv a_{ij} G_i, \quad (4)$$

also to the case $i = j$.

The additional parameters, $\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{i}$, always refer to only one species of particles and *are not extensive*. If we split the species i into the sub-species $i_0, i_1, \ldots$, then by Eqs. (2c), (3) and the extensivity property of $\tilde{\alpha}^{(e)}_{ii}$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{i_k i_l} = G_{i_k} a_{ii} + \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{i} \delta_{i_k i_l} \quad (5)$$

Typically, in the literature we find exclusion statistics parameters of the (s) type (see e.g. [6–13]). Therefore in general $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij} = 0$ for any $i \neq j$, so there is no mutual statistics in the system. In such a case the thermodynamic calculations simplify considerably. Note also that the ideal Fermi gas corresponds to $\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{i} = 1$ for any i.

Particle population in the bosonic formulation. – Let us now deduce the equations for the particle population. To avoid unphysical (negative or divergent weights) I write the number of microscopic configurations as [2]

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \prod_i \frac{(G_i + N_i - 1 + (1 - \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_i)\delta N_i - \sum_j \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}\delta N_j)!}{(N_i + \delta N_i)!(G_i - 1 - \alpha^{(s)}_i \delta N_i - \sum_j \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}\delta N_j)!} \quad (6) \\ &\approx \prod_i \frac{[G_i + N_i + (1 - \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_i)\delta N_i - G_i \sum_j a_{ij}\delta N_j]!}{(N_i + \delta N_i)!(G_i - \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_i \delta N_i - G_i \sum_j a_{ij}\delta N_j)!}. \end{aligned}$$

which then I plug into the expression for the grandcanonical partition function,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\{N_i\}} W(\{N_i\}) \exp\left[\sum_i \beta N_i(\mu_i - \epsilon_i)\right], \quad (7)$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$, T is the temperature of the system, whereas μ_i and ϵ_i are the chemical potential and the single-particle energy for the particles of species i. Maximizing $\mathcal{Z}$ with respect to the populations $n_i \equiv N_i/G_i$, I obtain the system of equations

$$\beta(\mu_i - \epsilon_i) + \ln \frac{[1 + n_i]^{1 - \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_i}}{n_i} = \sum_j G_j a_{ji} \ln[1 + n_j]. \quad (8)$$

Notice that Eq. (8) is similar to Eq. (18) of Ref. [2], only that by singling out the coefficients $\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_i$ we could extend the summation on the r.h.s. to include also the terms $i = j$. This makes the application of Eq. (8) more straightforward than the one in Ref. [2].

If $a_{ij} = 0$ for any i and j, we recover the typical formulas for the calculation of particle population without mutual exclusion statistics [6],

$$n = [w(\zeta) + \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_i]^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

with w and ζ defined by

$$w^{\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_i}(\zeta)[1 + w(\zeta)]^{1 - \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_i} = \zeta \equiv \exp[\beta(\epsilon_i - \mu_i)] \quad (10)$$

In the quasicontinuous limit, in a phase-space spanned by the single-particle states of quantum numbers $\mathbf{k}$ ($\mathbf{k}$ is not necessary the wave-number), of density of states $\sigma(\mathbf{k})$, Eq. (8) transforms into

$$\beta(\mu_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) + \ln \frac{[1 + n_{\mathbf{k}}]^{1 - \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{\mathbf{k}}}}{n_{\mathbf{k}}} = \int \sigma(\mathbf{k}') \ln[1 + n_{\mathbf{k}'}] a_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}}\, d\mathbf{k}'. \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) is similar to eq. (19) of Ref. [2], if we identify, say $\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}$ of [2]. The identification is natural, since as the interval G_i becomes smaller, $\tilde{\alpha}_{ii}$ converges to $\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{ii}$, which stays constant, whereas $\tilde{\alpha}^{(e)}_{ii}$ decreases to zero.

Particle population in the fermionic formulation. – Formula (1) represents the number of configurations of particles of species i in the bosonic formulation of FES [1]. This description is not the most convenient for example when one describes systems of (interacting) fermions in the FES formalism, since in such a case G_i represents the difference between the number of single-particle states and the number of fermions. Therefore in such cases it is easier to work directly with the total number of states, $T_i \equiv G_i + N_i - 1$, in the fermionic description. Although the two descriptions are equivalent, let me write down the system of equations for the n_is in the fermionic description. For this, I write first the number of configurations for the species i,

$$W_i^{(f)} = \frac{T_i!}{N_i!(T_i - N_i)!}. \tag{12}$$

We add again small perturbations to the particle numbers and write

$$W^{(f)} = \prod_i \left\{ \frac{(T_i - \tilde{\alpha}_i^{(s)}\delta N_i - G_i \sum_j a_{ij}\delta N_j)!}{[T_i - N_i - (1+\tilde{\alpha}_i^{(s)})\delta N_i - T_i \sum_j a_{ij}\delta N_j]!} \times \frac{1}{(N_i + \delta N_i)!} \right\}. \tag{13}$$

I plug (13) into the expression (7) for $\mathcal{Z}$ and, by maximization, I get the equations for the particle population, $f_i \equiv N_i/T_i$,

$$\beta(\mu_i - \epsilon_i) + \ln \frac{[1-f_i]^{1+\tilde{\alpha}_i^{(s)}}}{f_i} = -\sum_j G_j a_{ji} \ln[1-f_j]. \tag{14}$$

Introducing the density of states $\sigma^{(f)}(\mathbf{k})$ I write Eq. (14) in the quasicontinuous limit,

$$\beta(\mu_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) + \ln \frac{[1-f_{\mathbf{k}}]^{1+\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)}}}{f_{\mathbf{k}}} = -\int \sigma^{(f)}(\mathbf{k}') \ln[1-f_{\mathbf{k}'}] a_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}}\, d\mathbf{k}'. \tag{15}$$

Applications. – Let me now analyse three interacting particle system models in which FES is manifesting and compare their FES parameters with the ansatz proposed here.

FES in a system with Fermi liquid type of interaction. I take again the model of Ref. [3], which is a generalization of the Murthy and Shankar model [7], widely used in FES [7–10, 12, 14, 15]. In this model the total energy of the system,

$$E = \sum_i \epsilon_i n_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} V_{ij} n_i n_j, \tag{16}$$

is splitted into the quasiparticle energies as $E \equiv \sum_i \tilde{\epsilon}_i n_i$, with

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_i = \epsilon_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} V_{ij} n_j + \frac{1}{2} V_{ii} n_i. \tag{17}$$

In Eqs. (16) and (17) ϵ_i ($i = 0, 1, \ldots$) are single-particle energies, n_i is the population of the state i, and V_{ij} represent the interaction energy between a particle on the state i and a particle on the state j.

Going to the quasi-continuous limit, assuming that the single particle energy spectrum has the density of states $\sigma(\epsilon)$ and that the interaction energy depends only on the energies, we replace the indices i and j by the energies ϵ and ϵ' to write

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon + \int_0^{\epsilon} V(\epsilon, \epsilon')\sigma(\epsilon')n(\epsilon')\, d\epsilon'. \tag{18}$$

In what follows I shall assume that the function $\tilde{\epsilon}(\epsilon)$ is bijective and therefore I shall use interchangebly, whenever necessary, both $\tilde{\epsilon}(\epsilon)$ and $\epsilon(\tilde{\epsilon})$.

The FES is manifested in this case in the quasiparticle energies, $\tilde{\epsilon}$. In order to describe FES and to calculate its parameters, we split the $\tilde{\epsilon}$ axis into small intervals. In general we shal denote such an interval by $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}$ and by this notation we shall assume that it contains the quasiparticle energy level $\tilde{\epsilon}$.

From Ref. [3] we can directly identify the direct exclusion statistics parameters of (s) type,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{(s)} = \frac{V(\epsilon,\epsilon)\sigma(\epsilon)}{1 + \int_0^{\epsilon} \frac{\partial V(\epsilon,\epsilon')}{\partial \epsilon}\sigma(\epsilon')n(\epsilon')\, d\epsilon'}, \tag{19}$$

where $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon(\tilde{\epsilon})$.

The mutual exclusion statistics parameters are [3]

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\delta\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_i} = \delta\tilde{\epsilon} \frac{V(\epsilon,\epsilon_i) + f(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}_i)}{1 + \int_0^{\epsilon} \frac{\partial V(\epsilon,\epsilon')}{\partial \epsilon}\sigma(\epsilon')n(\epsilon')\, d\epsilon'} \left[\frac{d\sigma(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon}\right]_{\epsilon(\tilde{\epsilon})}, \tag{20}$$

where $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon(\tilde{\epsilon})$, $\epsilon_i \equiv \epsilon(\tilde{\epsilon}_i)$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \in \delta\tilde{\epsilon}$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}_i \in \delta\tilde{\epsilon}_i$. For (20), the quasiparticles are inserted into the interval $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ while the variation of the number of states is observed in the interval $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}$. The function $f(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}_i)$ is

$$f(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}_i) = \int_{\epsilon_i}^{\epsilon} \frac{\partial V(\epsilon,\epsilon')}{\partial \epsilon'}\sigma(\epsilon')n(\epsilon') \left[\frac{\delta\epsilon'}{\delta\rho(\epsilon_i)}\right]_{\{\rho(\tilde{\epsilon})\}} d\epsilon', \tag{21}$$

where by $\rho(\tilde{\epsilon}) \equiv \sigma(\tilde{\epsilon})n(\tilde{\epsilon})$ I denoted the particle density along the $\tilde{\epsilon}$ axis and the notation $[\delta\epsilon'/\delta\rho(\epsilon_i)]_{\{\rho(\tilde{\epsilon})\}}$ represents the functional derivative of ϵ' with respect to the particle density at energy $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$, when we keep fix $\tilde{\epsilon}(\epsilon')$. Since the number of states in the interval $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}$ is $\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\epsilon})\delta\tilde{\epsilon}$, the coefficients $a_{\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}_i}$ are

$$a_{\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}_i} = \frac{V(\epsilon,\epsilon_i) + f(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}_i)}{1 + \int_0^{\epsilon} \frac{\partial V(\epsilon,\epsilon')}{\partial \epsilon}\sigma(\epsilon')n(\epsilon')\, d\epsilon'} \left[\frac{d\log\sigma(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon}\right]_{\epsilon(\tilde{\epsilon})}. \tag{22}$$

Now we are left with the calculation of $\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}}^{(e)}$. For this we first note that Eqs. (20) and (22) are valid for any two disjoint intervals, so let us divide the interval $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}$ into the subintervals $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_i$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, M$, of dimensions $\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\epsilon}_i)\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_i$, where we always maintain the convention that $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ belongs

to the interval $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_i$. We pick the energy level $\tilde{\epsilon}_k$ from the interval $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_k$ and apply Eq. (2c):

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\delta\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\tilde{\epsilon}} = \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\epsilon}_0)\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_0 a_{\tilde{\epsilon}_0\tilde{\epsilon}_k} + \ldots + \tilde{\alpha}_{\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_k\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_k} + \ldots + \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\epsilon}_M)\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_M a_{\tilde{\epsilon}_M\tilde{\epsilon}_k} \tag{23}$$

Making the interval $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_k$ small enough as compared to $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}$ and assuming that $\delta\tilde{\epsilon}$ is also small, so that we can use the approximations $a_{\tilde{\epsilon}_k\tilde{\epsilon}_l} = a_{\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\epsilon}_k) \equiv \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\epsilon})$ for any $k, l = 1, \ldots, M$, we can simplify Eq. (23) to write the general expression

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\delta\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\tilde{\epsilon}} = a_{\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}}\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\epsilon})\delta\tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \equiv a_{\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}}\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\epsilon})\delta\tilde{\epsilon} + \delta(\tilde{\epsilon} - \tilde{\epsilon}_i)\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \tag{24}$$

which has the form of the ansatz (3). For Eq. (24), note that $f(\tilde{\epsilon}, \tilde{\epsilon}) = 0$.

In the simplified models of Refs. [7–10, 12, 14, 15], only the direct exclusion statistics parameters have been used and $a_{\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}_i}$ was identically zero for any $\tilde{\epsilon}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$. We observe now, from eq. (20), that this happens whenever $d\sigma/d\epsilon = 0$.

Having all the exclusion statistics parameters calculated, one can in principle apply Eq. (11) or (15), depending on the type of particles we have in the system, to calculate the particle distribution.

FES in 1D quantum gas in the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz. The 1D gas of quantum particles in the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) have been analysed before (see e.g. [9, 16, 17]) and is recognized in general as being a system which can be described by FES. The only reason for which I discuss it again here is to show that its FES parameters are indeed of the type (3) and also because in general a confusion is made in the literature between the intensive a_{ij} and extensive $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}$ parameters and this has to be clarified.

Therefore let's consider the typical gas of N spinless particles, bosons or fermions, on a ring of circumference L. We assume that the system is *nondiffractive* [18] and the asymptotic particle wavenumber, k, is determined by the equation [19]

$$Lk - \sum_{k'} \theta(k - k') = Lk^{(0)}, \tag{25}$$

where $k^{(0)} \equiv 2\pi I(k)/L$ is the free-particle wavenumber, $I(k)$ an integer that depends on k, and $\theta(k - k')$ is the phase-shift due to the interaction.

To simplify the notations and to be also in accordance with Refs. [18, 19] we set the units so that $\hbar = m \equiv 1$, where m is the mass of the particle. In these units the total number of particles, momentum and energy of the system are

$$N = \sum_k 1, \; P = \sum_k k, \text{ and } E = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k k^2. \tag{26}$$

For large systems we transform the summations into integrals and define the densities of states, $\sigma(k)$ and $\sigma_0(k^{(0)})$, by the relations

$$D(\delta k) \equiv \sigma(k)\delta k \text{ and } D(\delta k^{(0)}) \equiv \sigma_0(k^{(0)})\delta k^{(0)} \tag{27}$$

where $D(\delta k)$ and $D(\delta k^{(0)})$ are the numbers of states in the small intervals δk and $\delta k^{(0)}$, respectively. If δk and $\delta k^{(0)}$ are related by Eq. (25), then $D(\delta k) = D(\delta k^{(0)})$. Obviously, $\sigma_0(k^{(0)}) = L/(2\pi)$ (if we impose periodic boundary conditions on $k^{(0)}$) [18, 19].

The populations of the single particle levels, $n_0(k^{(0)})$ and $n(k)$, are defined as

$$N(\delta k) \equiv n(k)\sigma(k)\delta k = N(\delta k^{(0)}) \equiv n_0(k^{(0)})\sigma_0(k^{(0)})\delta k^{(0)} \tag{28}$$

where $N(\delta k) = N(\delta k^{(0)})$ is the number of particles in the interval δk or $\delta k^{(0)}$, with δk and related by Eq. (25). Moreover, since both, the number of particles and the number energy levels, are the same in the δk and $\delta k^{(0)}$ intervals, we have the identity $n(k) = n_0[k^{(0)}(k)]$.

In accordance with the notations in the literature [16, 19] I introduce here also the particle density, $\rho(k) \equiv \sigma(k)n(k)/L = N(\delta k)/(L\delta k)$.

In the new notations, Eq. (25) becomes a self-consistent equation for k,

$$k = k^{(0)}(k) + \int \theta(k - k')\rho(k')\, dk', \tag{29}$$

from which we can calculate [19]

$$\frac{dk^{(0)}}{dk} \equiv 1 - \int \theta'(k - k')\rho(k')\, dk', \tag{30}$$

where $\theta'(k) \equiv d\theta(k)/dk$. Plugging Eq. (30) into (27), we get the density of states

$$\sigma(k) = \frac{L}{2\pi}\left\{1 - \int \theta'(k - k')\rho(k')\, dk'\right\}. \tag{31}$$

The FES is manifesting in the system because of the dependence of σ on ρ: the variation of $\rho(k_i)$ (changing the number of particles at the level k_i) produces, in principle, a change of the density of states $\sigma(k)$, at any k. To determine the coefficients of the exclusion statistics we calculate the variation of $\sigma(k)$ at the variation of $\rho(k_i)$, i.e. we calculate the functional derivative

$$\frac{\delta\sigma(k)}{L\delta\rho(k_i)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\theta'(k - k_i) \tag{32}$$

Therefore if we split now the k axis into small intervals, with δk the interval around k and δk_i the interval around k_i, then the variation of $N(\delta k_i)$ by $\delta N(\delta k_i)$ produces a variation of $D(\delta k)$ equal to

$$\delta D(\delta k) = \frac{\delta\sigma(k)}{L\delta\rho(k_i)}\delta k = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\theta'(k - k_i)\delta k\delta N(\delta k_i) \tag{33}$$

From the FES formula, $\delta D(\delta k) = -\tilde{\alpha}_{\delta k\delta k_i}\delta N(\delta k_i)$, we obtain imediately

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\delta k\delta k_i} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\theta'(k - k_i)\delta k \tag{34a}$$

and therefore the exclusion statistics parameter $\tilde{\alpha}_{\delta k \delta k_i}$ *is proportional to the dimension of the space on which it acts*, $D(\delta k)$.

Comparing Eq. (34a) with Eq. (4) we get

$$a_{kk_i} = \frac{\theta'(k-k_i)}{2\pi\sigma(k)}. \tag{34b}$$

From Eqs. (34) all the TBA thermodynamics follows in general, by direct application of the formalism presented before, in either bosonic or fermionic formulations, as we shall see imediately.

If the particles are *bosons*, we plug a_{kk_i} into (11), setting $\tilde{\alpha}_k^{(s)}$, we obtain after some simplifications

$$\epsilon(k) = \epsilon_k^{(0)} - \mu - \frac{k_B T}{2\pi} \int \log[1 - e^{-\beta\epsilon(k')}] \theta'(k'-k)\, dk'. \tag{35}$$

In Eq. (35) I used the notation $\epsilon_k^{(0)} \equiv k^2/2$ and I defined the quasiparticle energy, $\epsilon(k)$, by $n_k \equiv \{\exp[\beta\epsilon(k)] - 1\}^{-1}$.

If the particles are fermions, we define the quasiparticle energy by $\exp[-\beta\epsilon(k)] = n_k/(1-n_k)$ and we plug Eq. (34b) into Eq. (15). In this way we recover imediately the TBA equation,

$$\epsilon(k) = \epsilon^{(0)}(k) - \mu + \frac{k_B T}{2\pi} \int \log[1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon(k')}] \theta'(k'-k)\, dk', \tag{36}$$

similarly to Eq. (35).

For the delta function interaction potential between the particles, $V(x) = 2c\delta(x)$ (x being the distance between the particles), the phase shift is $\theta(k) = -2\arctan(k/c)$, which gives

$$a_{kk_i} = -\frac{1}{\pi\sigma(k)} \cdot \frac{c}{c^2 + (k-k_i)^2} \tag{37}$$

and therefore

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(e)}_{\delta k \delta k_i} = -\frac{\delta k}{\pi} \cdot \frac{c}{c^2 + (k-k_i)^2}, \tag{38}$$

while

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}_{\delta k} = 0 \tag{39}$$

for any k.

If the particle-particle interaction is $V(x) = \lambda(\lambda-1)/x^2$, then the phase shift is $\theta(k) = \pi(\lambda-1)\mathrm{sgn}(k)$, where sgn is the sign function. From this we obtain

$$a_{kk_i} = \frac{(\lambda-1)}{\sigma(k)} \delta(k-k_i). \tag{40}$$

Note that in this case we do not have any "extensive" mutual exclusion statistics parameters and therefore we may write $\tilde{\alpha}_{\delta k \delta k_i} \equiv \tilde{\alpha}_k^{(s)} \delta_{\delta k \delta k_i} = (\lambda-1)\delta_{\delta k \delta k_i}$.

In both cases we recover the ansatz (3).

Fractional Quantum Hall Effect. Another system which is traditionally related to the FES is the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) [1,6,20,21].

In a Laughlin $1/m$-liquid, with m an odd integer, at any finite temperature there are quasiparticle vortex-like excitations, N_+ and N_-, corresponding to quasi electrons and quasi holes. The numbers of quasi excitations are related to the number of flux quanta in the system, $N_\phi = eBA/hc$ (where B is the magnetic flux and A is the area of the sample), and electron number, N_e, by the relation [20,21],

$$N_\phi = mN_e + N_- - N_+ \tag{41}$$

For single particle ocupancy (only one quasi-excitation in the system), the number of available states for each of these types of excitations is $G_- = G_+ = N_e$, while for general N_+ and N_- we have

$$G_+ = \frac{1}{m} N_\phi - \alpha_{++} N_+ - \alpha_{+-} N_- \tag{42a}$$

$$G_- = \frac{1}{m} N_\phi - \alpha_{-+} N_+ - \alpha_{--} N_- \tag{42b}$$

where α_{ij} $(i,j = +,-)$ are the FES parameters. Although maybe there is still no consensus regarding the values of α_{ij}, which differ for the different liquid models used to describe the FQHE (see e.g. [21]), for concreteness I shall adopt here the bosonic vortex scheme [20–22], with

$$\alpha_{++} = 2 - 1/m,\ \alpha_{+-} = 1/m, \tag{43a}$$

$$\alpha_{-+} = -1/m,\ \alpha_{--} = 1/m, \tag{43b}$$

alhough this is not important for our discussion.

The point is that although the fractional quantum Hall liquid (HQHL) is a macroscopic system, apparently the FES parameters of this system do not obey the general relations (2): there are only two species of quasiparticles–the quasi-electrons and the quasi-holes, with degenerate energy levels–and the α's are fixed by (43), so we cannot (aparently) split the species into further subspecies. Still, relations (2) are deduced on very general grounds, so they should be valid.

We have a puzzle.

The solution of this puzzle is straightforward and may be obtained only by macroscopic considerations. For this we observe that FQHL being a macroscopic system, one can always divide its area, A, into smaller areas, A_i, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, and in each of the smaller subsystems the FQHL has the same properties, but with scalled number of electrons, N_{e_i}, flux quanta, N_{ϕ_i}, quasi-electrons, N_{+_i}, quasi-holes, N_{-_i}, and $G_{\pm_i} = G_\pm \cdot A_i/A$. In equilibrium, $N_{e_i} = N_e \cdot A_i/A$, $N_{\phi_i} = N_\phi \cdot A_i/A$, $N_{+_i} = N_+ \cdot A_i/A$ and $N_{-_i} = N_- \cdot A_i/A$. In each of these subsystems, the same relations, (42) and (43), are valid for the quantities N_{e_i}, N_{ϕ_i}, N_{+_i}, N_{-_i}, and $G_{\pm_i} = G_\pm \cdot A_i/A$. Notice with this occasion that there is no "mutual" statistics between different sub-systems, i and j $(i \neq j)$. Therefore, by continuing to split A into samller and smaller areas,

we may eventually end-up with a coarse-graied surface of elemetary areas, $\delta A(x,y)$, where the x and y are the two-dimensional coordinates on the surface of the FQHL, and with the FES parameters, $\alpha_{ij}[\delta A(x,y)]$ $(i,j=+,-)$ that act always on *the same elementary area*, $(\delta A(x,y))$.

The puzzle is only aparent. Since the system is macroscopic, therefore extensive, the quantities α_{ij} are local and rigorously should be written as $\alpha_{ij}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \equiv \alpha_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$, for any $i,j=+,-$, where by $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ I denoted two position vectors (x,y) and (x',y') in the plane. This form of FES parameters obey the genreal relations (2), as they should, but constitue an exception to the ansatz (3) proposed in the beggining, due to the fact that the off-diagonal elements α_{+-} and α_{-+} are not extensive, but are also proportional to $\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$.

In the reverse process, if we "glue" together all the elementary areas, $\delta A(\mathbf{r})$, we reobtain the original system, of area A, two species of particles, N_+ and N_-, and the overall FES paramters (43).

Conclusions. – In this paper I introduced an ansatz for the FES parameters and with it I calculated the statistical distribution of particles from both, bosonic and fermionic perspectives. This ansatz allowed me to write the system of equations for the statistical distribution of particles in a more clear form and to single-out the direct exclusion statistics parameters which are most often used in FES calculations

Then I took three examples: a Fermi liquid type of system, a one-dimensional integrable quantum system and a fractional quantum Hall (FQH) system. I calculated the FES parameters for these systems and I showed that those of the first two systems obey the ansatz proposed here, whereas those of the third one do not. With this ocasion I also showed that if one takes properly in to account the extensivity of a FQH system, then its FES paramters also obey the general conditions deduced in Ref. [5].

Acknowledgements. – I thank Dr. Ovidiu Pâţu and Prof. J. M. Leinaas for motivating discussions and the staff members of the Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics of JINR-Dubna, Russia, for hospitality and interesting discussions during the visit in which I did most part of this work. The work was partially supported by the NATO grant, EAP.RIG 982080.

REFERENCES

[1] F. D. M. Haldane. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 67:937, 1991.
[2] D. V. Anghel. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 40:F1013, 2007. arXiv:0710.0724.
[3] D. V. Anghel. *Phys. Lett. A*, 372:5745, 2008. arXiv:0710.0728.
[4] D. V. Anghel. *Rom. J. Phys.*, 54:281, 2009. arXiv:0804.1474.
[5] D. V. Anghel. *EPL*, 87:60009, 2009. arXiv:0906.4836.
[6] Yong-Shi Wu. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 73:922, 1994.
[7] M. V. N. Murthy and R. Shankar. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 73:3331, 1994.
[8] D. Sen and R. K. Bhaduri. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74:3912, 1995.
[9] M. V. N. Murthy and R. Shankar. *Phys. Rev. B*, 60:6517, 1999.
[10] R. K. Bhaduri, S. M. Reimann, S. Viefers, A. G. Choudhury, and M. K. Srivastava. *J. Phys. B*, 33:3895–3903, 2000.
[11] T. H. Hansson, J. M. Leinaas, and S. Viefers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 86:2930–2933, 2001.
[12] D. V. Anghel. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 35:7255, 2002.
[13] D. V. Anghel. *Rom. Rep. Phys.*, 59:235, 2007. cond-mat/0703729.
[14] T.H. Hansson, J.M. Leinaas, and S. Viefers. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 470:291, 1996.
[15] S.B. Isakov and S. Viefers. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 12:1895, 1997.
[16] D. Bernard and Y. S. Wu. In M. L. Ge and Y. S. Wu, editors, *New Developments on Integrable Systems and Long-Ranged Interaction Models*, page 10. World Scientific, Singapore, 1995. cond-mat/9404025.
[17] G. G. Potter, G Müller, and M Karbach. *Phys. Rev. E*, 76:61112, 2007.
[18] *Beautiful Models*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2004.
[19] B. Sutherland. *Phys. Rev. B*, 56:4422, 1997.
[20] W.-P. Su, Y.-S. Wu, and J. Yang. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 77:3423, 1996.
[21] Exclusonic quasiparticles and thermodynamics of fractional quantum hall liquids. cond-mat/9706030.
[22] S. B. Isakov, G. S. Canright, and M. D. Johnson. *Phys. Rev. B*, 55:6727, 1997.